BELGIAN JAVA USER GROUP PRESENTS



ENTERPRISE SOA CONFERENCE

24 October 2006, De Montil, Affligem

REST - the Better Web Services Model



BeJUG

Stefan Tilkov

Founder & Principal Consultant

innoQ Deutschland GmbH



inno **Q**



Overall presentation goal

Understand the value of REST and its use for building scalable systems

Speaker's Qualifications

- Architecting mission-critical systems since 1995
- Background with C/DCE, C++/CORBA, Java/J2EE
- SOA Community editor at InfoQ (www.infoq.com)
- Numerous articles and presentations on SOA, Web services, and architecture

See: http://www.innoq.com/blog/st/



REST as an Alternative to Web Services

If your goal is to create a loosely-coupled, evolvable, distributed system architecture based on standards and accessible to as many people as possible, you might think *Web services* are the best option.



REST as an Alternative to Web Services

If your goal is to create a loosely-coupled, evolvable, distributed system architecture based on standards and accessible to as many people as possible, you might think *Web services* are the best option.

You would be wrong.

Agenda

- Introducing REST
- REST vs. Web services
- Advanced use cases
- Summary

Agenda

Introducing REST

- REST vs. Web services
- Advanced use cases

Summary

What is REST?

- REpresentational State Transfer
- Described by Roy Fielding in his dissertation
- One of a number of "architectural styles"
- Architectural principles underlying HTTP, defined a posteriori

See: http://www.ics.uci.edu/~fielding/pubs/dissertation/top.htm



REST and HTTP

- REST is an abstraction that could be implemented with any technology
- Best-known implementation of REST is HTTP
- Bear this in mind when HTTP is used to illustrate REST in the rest of this talk

http://savas.parastatidis.name/2005/03/12/505b74f7-d5d3-4b94-95d4-65129ce2bf2b.aspx



REST Key Principles

- Identifiable resources
- Uniform interface
- Stateless communication
- Resource representations
- Hypermedia

Identifiable resources

- A resource represents a real or virtual entity
 a customer, vehicle fleet, shopping cart ...
- On the Web, resources are identified by URIs
- Each URI adds value to the Net as a whole
- Imagine Amazom.com without URIs!

Uniform interface

- Once you a know a resource's ID, you can interact with it using a single standard interface
- Limited set of operations (verbs) in HTTP: GET, PUT, POST, DELETE (+ some more)
- Pre-defined semantics allow for optimization (e.g. caching)

Resource representations

- Resources are always accessed through a representation
- There can be more than one e.g. HTML, PDF, XML
- HTTP provides content types and content negotiation
- If possible, resources should be represented using well-known (ideally: standardized) content types

Stateless communication

- A server does not need to maintain state for each client
- Massive advantages in terms of scalability
- Enforces loose coupling (no shared session knowledge)



Hypermedia

- Possible (client) state transitions are made explicit through links
- Enable following of part-whole, detail, belongs-to and arbitrary other connections
- Links are (ideally) always provided by the server, not created by the client
- Enables seamless evolution and distribution

REST Approach



- A single generic (uniform) interface for everything
- Generic verbs mapped to resource semantics
- A standard application protocol (e.g. HTTP)



Contribution to the Net's Value

- I URL for each resource possibly millions
 - every customer
 - every order
- 4-7 supported methods per resource
 GET, PUT, POST, DELETE
 TRACE, OPTIONS, HEAD
- Cacheable, addressable, linkable, ...

Designing a RESTful application

- Identify resources & design URIs
- Select formats (or create new ones)
- Identify method semantics
- Select response codes

See: http://bitworking.org/news/How_to_create_a_REST_Protocol

RESTful HTTP Advantages

- Universal support (programming languages, operating systems, servers, ...)
- Proven scalability
- Support for redirect, caching, different representations, resource identification, ...
- "Real" web integration for machine-2-machine communication
- Support for XML, but also other formats

Agenda

Introducing REST

REST vs. Web services

Advanced use cases

Summary



Distributed Objects Approach

OrderManagement

- + ID submitOrder(c_id: ID, o:Order)
- + void cancelOrder(id:ID)
- + Order getOrderDetail(id:ID)
- + Order[] getOrders(c_id: ID)

CustomerManagement

+ ID createCustomer(c:Customer)
+ Customer getCustomerDetail(id:ID)
+ Customer[] getCustomers()

- A separate interface (façade) for each purpose
- As known CORBA, DCOM, RMI/EJB
- Often used for SOA ("CORBA w/ angle brackets)
- Application-specific protocol

Web Services and the Web

- WSDL/SOAP-based Web services are "protocol independent"
- Examples:
 - Microsoft supports SOAP over TCP
 - Many Java implementations allow for SOAP with proprietary JMS implementations
 - HTTP is just one more "transport protocol"



Contribution to the Net's Value

2 URLs

http://example.com/customerservice
 http://example.com/orderservice

1 methodPOST



\$ 64,000 Question:

So how can one use HTTP's features with SOAP Web services?



Some HTTP features

Verbs (in order of popularity):

- GET, POST
- DUT, DELETE
- □ HEAD, OPTIONS, TRACE
- Standardized (& meaningful) response codes
- Content negotiation
- Redirection
- Caching (incl. validation/expiry)
- Compression
- Chunking

\$ 64,000 Answer



\$64,000 Answer

So how can one use HTTP's features with SOAP Web services?



\$64,000 Answer

- So how can one use HTTP's features with SOAP Web services?
 - Not at all. At least not without breaking protocol independence.



\$64,000 Answer

- So how can one use HTTP's features with SOAP Web services?
 - Not at all. At least not without breaking protocol independence.
- HTTP is not a "dumb" transport protocol, but an application protocol











see http://www.innoq.com/soa/ws-standards/poster







See http://www.loudthinking.com/arc/000585.html

"No matter how hard I try, I still think the WS-* stack is bloated, opaque, and insanely complex. I think it is going to be hard to understand, hard to implement, hard to interoperate, and hard to secure."

"No matter how hard I try, I still think the WS-* stack is bloated, opaque, and insanely complex. I think it is going to be hard to understand, hard to implement, hard to interoperate, and hard to secure."

Tim Bray, co-inventor of XML

"No matter how hard I try, I still think the WS-* stack is bloated, opaque, and insanely complex. I think it is going to be hard to understand, hard to implement, hard to interoperate, and hard to secure."

Tim Bray, co-inventor of XML

http://www.tbray.org/ongoing/When/200x/2004/09/18/WS-Oppo

"Show me the interoperable, full and free implementations of WS-* in Python, Perl, Ruby and PHP. You won't see them, because there's no intrinsic value in WS-* unless you're trying to suck money out of your customers. Its complexity serves as a barrier to entry at the same time that it creates "value" that can be sold."

"Show me the interoperable, full and free implementations of WS-* in Python, Perl, Ruby and PHP. You won't see them, because there's no intrinsic value in WS-* unless you're trying to suck money out of your customers. Its complexity serves as a barrier to entry at the same time that it creates "value" that can be sold."

Mark Nottingham, formerly BEA,

"Show me the interoperable, full and free implementations of WS-* in Python, Perl, Ruby and PHP. You won't see them, because there's no intrinsic value in WS-* unless you're trying to suck money out of your customers. Its complexity serves as a barrier to entry at the same time that it creates "value" that can be sold."

Mark Nottingham, formerly BEA, former chair of the WS-Addressing WG

"Show me the interoperable, full and free implementations of WS-* in Python, Perl, Ruby and PHP. You won't see them, because there's no intrinsic value in WS-* unless you're trying to suck money out of your customers. Its complexity serves as a barrier to entry at the same time that it creates "value" that can be sold."

Mark Nottingham, formerly BEA, former chair of the WS-Addressing WG

http://www.mnot.net/blog/2006/05/10/vendors

- Web services and WS-* stack are supposed to create a new ubiquitous protocol stack
 on top of another ubiquitous protocol stack
- WS-* tends to ignore the web
- Abstractions "leak", anyway
- Protocol independence is a bug, not a feature

Web Services Issues (contd.)

- The Web and the Internet architecture is based on standard protocols
- Not only HTTP, but also SMTP, FTP, DNS, ...
- If web services are supposed to work on Internet scale, they should be inspired by the Web, not by Distributed Objects

Agenda

- Introducing REST
 REST vs. Web services
- Advanced use cases

Summary

Asynchronous Communication

- HTTP is always synchronous request/ response
- For lengthy interactions, the server should return 202 Accepted and a URI for the result
- Poll or pass a URI to be notified
- WS-Addressing is just URIs used badly



Reliable Messaging w/ HTTP

- First question: What does "reliable" mean?
- Often solved at the application level
- Existing proposals:
 - Bill de hÓra's HTTPLR
 - Yaron Goland's SOA-Reliability ("SOA-Rity")

2-Phase-Commit Transactions

- The Holy Grail of Applied Computer Science
- In practice, not used as often as you think "It hurts when I do that, Doctor." – "Then don't do that!"
- PC and loose coupling don't work together very well
- Compensating transactions are business logic anyway
- A light-weight protocol could be created, but no one has cared so far



WS-Transfer

This specification defines a mechanism for acquiring XML-based representations of entities using the Web service infrastructure. [...] Specifically, it defines two operations for sending and receiving the representation of a given resource and two operations for creating and deleting a resource and its corresponding representation.

WS-Transfer

This specification defines a mechanism for acquiring XML-based representations of entities using the Web service infrastructure. [...] Specifically, it defines two operations for sending and receiving the representation of a given resource and two operations for creating and deleting a resource and its corresponding representation.

Sounds familiar?

WS-Transfer

This specification defines a mechanism for acquiring XML-based representations of entities using the Web service infrastructure. [...] Specifically, it defines two operations for sending and receiving the representation of a given resource and two operations for creating and deleting a resource and its corresponding representation.

- Sounds familiar?
- HTTP-over-SOAP-over-HTTP

Layers, we've got Layers



UDDI

- 420-page specification
- Finding and maintaining (meta-)model objects

Inquiry

- find_binding
- find_business
- find_relatedBusinesses
- find_service
- find_tModel
- get_bindingDetail
- get_businessDetail
- get_operationalInfo
- get_serviceDetail
- get_tModelDetail

Publication

- save_binding
- save_business
- save_service
- save_tModel
- delete_binding
- delete_business
- delete_publisherAssertions
- delete_service
- delete_tModel
- add_publisherAssertions
- set_publisherAssertions
- get_assertionStatusReport
- get_publisherAssertions
- get_registeredInfo

UDDI (contd.)

- UDDI could be greatly simplified by using plain HTTP
- It would no longer be protocol-independent
 Who cares?
- Atom (Syndication Format & Protocol) would be a great match

See: http://www.xml.com/pub/a/ws/2002/02/06/rest.html?page=2



Binary Attachments

- In the WS-* world:
 - SOAP with Attachments (MIME)
 - DIME (supported by Microsoft)
 - □ XOP/MTOM
- In a RESTful HTTP application:
 - Use a link or
 - provide an alternative representation

Security

- Web services security is message-based
- HTTP relies on
 - transport level security (SSL/TLS)
 - basic and digest authentication
 - access control based on resources and methods
- WSS concepts would be a great value-add for HTTP-based systems

Agenda

- Introducing REST
- REST vs. Web services
- Advanced use cases
- Summary

Summary

- REST is the architecture of the world's most successful distributed system
- Web Services don't use the Web, they abuse it
- HTTP is not a transport protocol
- Very often, "Just use HTTP" is the best advice
- Understanding REST will help you build better Web-based systems



If You Only Remember One Thing...

HTTP is Good Enough.





BeJUG



Thank you for your attention!



stefan.tilkov@innoq.com http://www.innoq.com/blog/st/

BeJUG